A. Conclusion

From previous chapter, it can be concluded that the character of private and collective property rights in the Qur’an comparative study to capitalist or socialist economic system are as the following:

1. The Qur’an really respects and recognizes property right as the important thing in human life with many regulations to manage it but this right is not absolute. The absolute owner of property is just Allah SWT, and human being is no more but as the vice. The Qur’an does not give all of property as free object to be owned by every people without any restriction. It means that the Qur’an gives certain limitation in the private property right. Farther more, Qur’an also respect and recognizes the property that managed under control of collective people. The object are classified as collective ownership categories are objects that have been claimed by al-syar’i as objects of the community together and not be dominated by some people only. Therefore, the Qur’an also gives code ethic that is prohibits the bad ways to get the property (haram), such us thievery, robbing, bribery and so on even ethic in using property like encourages to the owner of property for giving it to the people who have right to receive it.

2. Basically, the system of Islamic economics is different from economy system of capitalism and socialism. The Qur’an has divided the private and collective property than gives clearly rules and ethics how to get and use the property in which these characters are not mentioned both in capitalism and socialism. It is can be conclude that those both extremes of economy system are not compatible with the Qur’an.
B. Suggestion

1. Advice for Academics

Because the study is about the ownership of property that has been continuing to grow, the authors felt this study could contribute to the economy in the world of Islam if it contained the potential is maximized. Authors suggest that this study could be followed up with ongoing research.

2. Advice to readers

Of all the exposure that has served the author of many shortcomings, and reach yet to the fullest. Given that the author adopted the theme is still a serious problem in society, it is still very needed deepening for lay people in general about this issue. To the authors suggest to the reader to learn more of the relevant literature.