## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

## A. Data Description

The researcher conducted the research at SMP NU 03 Islam Kaliwungu, Kendal where the seventh grade students were chosen as the sample of the research. The researcher held field research by taking the scores of 52 students as an object of the research and analyzed those scores in order to find out whether there is any correlation between students' frequency of listening English songs and their vocabulary power by using the Pearson $\boldsymbol{r}$ formula and regression. So, in the total there are 35 scores because the samples had two set of scores. The first score is listening English songs that consists of 15 items. The scoring is 5 for each strongest answer and 1 for the lowest answer. The form of the test and the result score can be seen on appendix.

In this test, the researcher collected pieces of information from many independent responses, then added them together in some way and report a number or letter that claimed mean something about the result. Accordingly, mark of good task design is for range to be interlinked the data variables.

The data in this research were derived from the test result, as follow:

## 1. Result of the Test of Instrument

## a. Validity

The test of validity used the formula was explained in chapter III. For example the question no. 4 (vocabulary test), the value of $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xy}}=0,357$ and $\mathrm{r}_{\text {table }}$ is 0,325 , with $\mathrm{n}=52$. After getting $r_{x y}$, the value of $r_{x y}$, is compared with the value of $r_{\text {table }}$, if $r_{x y}>r_{\text {table }}$ so the item tested is valid. It means that the instrument can be used as equipment for collecting data. For the complete calculation can be seen on appendix.

## b. Reliability

To test reliability used the formula that was explained in chapter III. After the calculation, for example the try-out test of vocabulary get $\mathrm{r}_{11}=0,666$. It means that the coefficient of reliability is reliable. For the complete calculation can be seen on appendix.

## c. Difficulty Level

The test of difficulty level used the formula that was explained in chapter III. For example no. 1 (vocabulary test), the value of difficulty level is 0,6111 , it means that question number one is medium. For the complete calculation can be seen on appendix.

## 2. Result of the Research

## a. Students' listening English songs score

The students' listening English songs score is as follows:

Table 4.1
Students' Listening English Songs Score

| No. | Name | Listening Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Angga Dwi Saputra | 55 |
| 2 | Anung Anindito | 45 |
| 3 | Aprilia Dewi Ningrum | 54 |
| 4 | Ariyani Verawati | 52 |
| 5 | Ayu Supriyanti | 56 |
| 6 | Devi Meliana | 55 |
| 7 | Ella Dwi Pratanti | 53 |
| 8 | Habib Ulin Naim | 47 |
| 9 | Miftakhul Ulum | 58 |
| 10 | M. Irfan Setyawan | 54 |
| 11 | M. Arigi Saputro | 45 |
| 12 | M. Rizky Wahyudi | 51 |
| 13 | M. Rizal | 50 |
| 14 | Naila Farkhati Zahda | 58 |
| 15 | Novi Wulan Sari | 53 |
| 16 | Nuril Huda | 51 |
| 17 | Nur Lailatul Rizqi | 62 |
| 18 | Reni Siska Juniati | 42 |
| 19 | Rinang Adhi Sulistyo | 50 |
| 20 | Riya Rizui | 58 |
| 21 | Sintia Mariska | 39 |
| 22 | Slamet Agung | 53 |
| 23 | Sonya Firly Choirunnisa | 52 |
| 24 | Suroso | 47 |
| 25 | Shavira Dwi Meirnawati | 47 |
| 26 | Zeni Fitriana | 56 |
| 27 | Adynda Dafana Aisyah | 57 |
| 28 | Andini | 48 |
| 29 | Arssell Seva M. | 54 |
| 30 | Ary Fajar Riadi | 49 |
| 31 | Aziz Teguh Purnomo | 55 |
| 32 | Dewi Yulia Nurlita Sari | 72 |
|  |  |  |


| 33 | Fikki Fatkhiyatul Ulya | 45 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 34 | Kartika Apriliyani | 71 |
| 35 | Kartina Apriliyana | 51 |
| 36 | M. Andriko R. | 41 |
| 37 | M. Maulana Sidiq | 41 |
| 38 | M. Sa’idun Ghofur | 55 |
| 39 | M. Nur Rahmatullah | 48 |
| 40 | M. Rozi | 45 |
| 41 | Mustabriki | 53 |
| 42 | Nishfah Hilaliyati Noor | 51 |
| 43 | Norma Anjarwati | 52 |
| 44 | Wahyu Aditya Kusuma | 47 |
| 45 | Putri Listiyani | 46 |
| 46 | Rahmad Yuli Hardiyanto | 56 |
| 47 | Riza Pujiati | 49 |
| 48 | Suci Indah Parawansa | 56 |
| 49 | Tria Novika Sari | 48 |
| 50 | Yuli Aji | 56 |
| 51 | Muhammad Nizam | 46 |
| 52 | A. Arif Maulana | 46 |
| N= 52 |  |  |

The following calculation of the reading comprehension test is:
$M=\frac{\sum X}{N}$
$M=\frac{2681}{52}$
$M=51,557=52$

Where:
$\mathrm{M}=$ the mean
$\Sigma \mathrm{X}=$ the sum of all scores
$\mathrm{N} \quad=$ the total number of objects

From the calculation above, we can conclude that the listening score of seventh grade students of SMP NU 03 Islam Kaliwungu, Kendal in the academic year of 2016/2017 is 51,55 .

## Table 4.2

Table of Students' Listening English Songs

| Grade | Interval | Frequency | Percentage | Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $67-73$ | 2 | $3,84 \%$ | Excellent |
| B | $60-66$ | 1 | $1,92 \%$ | Good |
| C | $53-59$ | 21 | $40,38 \%$ | Fair |
| D | $46-52$ | 20 | $38,46 \%$ | Poor |
| E | $39-45$ | 8 | $15,38 \%$ | Failed |

There were 15 multiple choice questions in listening English songs test. The students needed to answer the test correctly. The highest score was 72 and there was one student who got this score. Based on the calculation of mean, the average score was 51 . 55 . It means that seventh grade students of SMP NU 03 Islam Kaliwungu, Kendal in the academic year of 2016/2017 is fair.

## b. Students' vocabulary score

The students' vocabulary score is as follows:
Table 4.3
Students' Vocabulary Score

| No. | Name | Vocabulary <br> Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Angga Dwi Saputra | 86 |
| 2 | Anung Anindito | 66 |
| 3 | Aprilia Dewi Ningrum | 73 |
| 4 | Ariyani Verawati | 86 |
| 5 | Ayu Supriyanti | 80 |
| 6 | Devi Meliana | 66 |
| 7 | Ella Dwi Pratanti | 60 |
| 8 | Habib Ulin Naim | 60 |
| 9 | Miftakhul Ulum | 73 |
| 10 | M. Irfan Setyawan | 80 |
| 11 | M. Arigi Saputro | 86 |
| 12 | M. Rizky Wahyudi | 53 |
| 13 | M. Rizal | 46 |
| 14 | Naila Farkhati Zahda | 66 |
| 15 | Novi Wulan Sari | 73 |
| 16 | Nuril Huda | 73 |
| 17 | Nur Lailatul Rizqi | 60 |
| 18 | Reni Siska Juniati | 53 |
| 19 | Rinang Adhi Sulistyo | 60 |
| 20 | Riya Rizui | 73 |
| 21 | Sintia Mariska | 80 |
| 22 | Slamet Agung | 53 |
| 23 | Sonya Firly Choirunnisa | 66 |
| 24 | Suroso | 86 |
| 25 | Shavira Dwi Meirnawati | 80 |
| 26 | Zeni Fitriana | 73 |
| 27 | Adynda Dafana Aisyah | 60 |
| 28 | Andini | 53 |
|  |  |  |


| 29 | Arssell Seva M. | 46 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | Ary Fajar Riadi | 86 |  |  |  |
| 31 | Aziz Teguh Purnomo | 80 |  |  |  |
| 32 | Dewi Yulia Nurlita Sari | 73 |  |  |  |
| 33 | Fikki Fatkhiyatul Ulya | 86 |  |  |  |
| 34 | Kartika Apriliyani | 33 |  |  |  |
| 35 | Kartina Apriliyana | 40 |  |  |  |
| 36 | M. Andriko R. | 80 |  |  |  |
| 37 | M. Maulana Sidiq | 73 |  |  |  |
| 38 | M. Sa’idun Ghofur | 66 |  |  |  |
| 39 | M. Nur Rahmatullah | 66 |  |  |  |
| 40 | M. Rozi | 53 |  |  |  |
| 41 | Mustabriki | 73 |  |  |  |
| 42 | Nishfah Hilaliyati Noor | 86 |  |  |  |
| 43 | Norma Anjarwati | 80 |  |  |  |
| 44 | Wahyu Aditya Kusuma | 73 |  |  |  |
| 45 | Putri Listiyani | 73 |  |  |  |
| 46 | Rahmad Yuli Hardiyanto | 66 |  |  |  |
| 47 | Riza Pujiati | 53 |  |  |  |
| 48 | Suci Indah Parawansa | 53 |  |  |  |
| 49 | Tria Novika Sari | 60 |  |  |  |
| 50 | Yuli Aji | 73 |  |  |  |
| 51 | Muhammad Nizam | 60 |  |  |  |
| 52 | A. Arif Maulana | 80 |  |  |  |
|  | N= 52 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{\Sigma Y = 3 5 3 6}$ |

To facilitate the measurement of students' vocabulary test, the raw scores were converted in the standard scores using the percentage correction formula as stated in chapter II and the result can be seen in the next table. To calculate the mean score of the vocabulary test by using the following formula:
$M=\frac{\sum Y}{N}$
$M=\frac{3536}{52}$
$M=68$

Where:
$\mathrm{M}=$ the mean
$\Sigma \mathrm{Y}=$ the sum of all scores
$\mathrm{N}=$ the total number of objects

From the calculation above, we can conclude that the vocabulary score of seventh grade students of SMP NU 03 Islam Kaliwungu, Kendal in the academic year of 2016/2017 is 68 .

Table 4.4
Table of students' vocabulary power

| Grade | Interval | Frequency | Percentage | Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $81-100$ | 7 | $13,46 \%$ | Excellent |
| B | $61-80$ | 27 | $51,92 \%$ | Good |
| C | $41-60$ | 16 | $30,76 \%$ | Fair |
| D | $21-40$ | 2 | $3,84 \%$ | Poor |
| E | $0-20$ | - | - | Failed |

There were 15 multiple choice questions in vocabulary test. The students needed to answer the test correctly. The highest score was 86 and there were seven students who got this score. Based on the calculation of mean, the average
score is 68. It means that seventh grade students of SMP NU 03 Islam Kaliwungu, Kendal in the academic year of 2016/2017 is good.

## B. Data Analysis and Test of Hypothesis

1. Normality test of variable $X$ (Students' listening English songs)
a. Hypothesis
$\mathbf{H}_{0}=$ the data has a normal distribution
$\mathbf{H}_{1}=$ the data has not a normal distribution
b. Criteria

Hypothesis is accepted if $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, the probability value > 0.05

## c. Test of Hypothesis

The frequency of students' frequency of listening English songs is as follows:

Table 4.5
Frequency Table of Students' Frequency of Listening English Songs

| Score | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | 1 | $1,92 \%$ | $1,92 \%$ |
| 41 | 2 | $3,84 \%$ | $5,76 \%$ |
| 42 | 1 | $1,92 \%$ | $7,68 \%$ |
| 45 | 4 | $7,69 \%$ | $15,37 \%$ |
| 46 | 3 | $5,76 \%$ | $21,13 \%$ |
| 47 | 4 | $7,69 \%$ | $28,82 \%$ |


| 48 | 3 | $5,76 \%$ | $34,58 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 2 | $3,84 \%$ | $38,42 \%$ |
| 50 | 2 | $3,84 \%$ | $42,26 \%$ |
| 51 | 4 | $7,69 \%$ | $49,95 \%$ |
| 52 | 3 | $5,76 \%$ | $55,71 \%$ |
| 53 | 4 | $7,69 \%$ | $63,4 \%$ |
| 54 | 3 | $5,76 \%$ | $69,16 \%$ |
| 55 | 4 | $7,69 \%$ | $76,85 \%$ |
| 56 | 5 | $9,61 \%$ | $86,46 \%$ |
| 57 | 1 | $1,92 \%$ | $88,38 \%$ |
| 58 | 3 | $5,76 \%$ | $94,14 \%$ |
| 62 | 1 | $1,92 \%$ | $96,06 \%$ |
| 71 | 1 | $1,92 \%$ | $97,98 \%$ |
| 72 | 1 | $1,92 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## 2. Normality test of variable Y (Students' vocabulary power)

## a. Hypothesis

$\mathbf{H}_{0}=$ the data has a normal distribution
$\mathbf{H}_{1}=$ the data has not a normal distribution

## b. Criteria

Hypothesis is accepted if $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, the probability value $>0.05$

## c. Test of Hypothesis

The frequency of students' vocabulary power test is as follow:

Table 4.6
Frequency Table of Students' Vocabulary Power Score

| Score | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 1 | $1,92 \%$ | $1,92 \%$ |
| 40 | 1 | $1,92 \%$ | $3,84 \%$ |
| 46 | 2 | $3,84 \%$ | $7,68 \%$ |
| 53 | 7 | $13,46 \%$ | $21,14 \%$ |
| 60 | 7 | $13,46 \%$ | $34,6 \%$ |
| 66 | 7 | $13,46 \%$ | $48,06 \%$ |
| 73 | 12 | $23,07 \%$ | $71,13 \%$ |
| 80 | 8 | $15,38 \%$ | $86,51 \%$ |
| 86 | 7 | $13,46 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

To test the normality of the data, the researcher used One Sample K-S (Kolmogorov Smirnov) Test from the SPSS program with the result as follows:

## Descriptive Statistics

|  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Listening | 52 | 51.56 | 6.430 | 39 | 72 |
| Vocabulary | 52 | 68.00 | 13.097 | 33 | 86 |

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

|  | Listening English Songs | Vocabulary Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 52 | 52 |
| Normal Mean | 51.56 | 68.00 |
| Parameters ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Std. <br> Deviation | 6.430 | 13.097 |
| Most Extreme Absolute | . 110 | . 168 |
| Differences Positive | . 110 | . 085 |
| Negative | -. 077 | -. 168 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z | . 795 | 1.211 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | . 553 | . 107 |
| a. Test distribution is Normal. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Based on the data above, it can be seen from the significant column (Asymp. A Sig (2-tailed) show that the value is 0.553 for listening and 0.107 for vocabulary it was the probability value is more than 0.05 . If the probability value $>0.05$, so $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted. It means the data has a normal distribution. So, it can be concluded that the data of the frequency of listening and vocabulary score has a normal distribution.

## 3. Looking for the regression similarity

Based on the SPPS Program vol. 16.0 computation, the regression similarity of students' frequency of listening English songs and their vocabulary power of seventh grade of SMP NU 03 Islam Kalwungu, Kendal in the academic year of 2016/2017 is as follows:

Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized <br> Coefficients <br> Beta | t | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Std. <br> Error |  |  |  |
| 1 (Constant) | 90.150 | 14.627 |  | 6.163 | . 000 |
| Listening <br> English <br> Songs | -. 430 | . 282 | -. 211 | -1.526 | . 133 |

a. Dependent Variable:

Vocabulary Enrichment

Based on the table above, we can see the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {count }}$ is 1.526 and the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ on the significant level $5 \%$ is 1.684 . From the hypothesis above, it was known that $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}=1.526<$ $1.684 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {table }}(0.05)$, it means alternative hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ is rejected and Null Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)$ is accepted.

## C. Discussion of the Research Findings

## 1. Students' frequency of listening to English songs

The result of the research shows that students' frequency of listening to English songs is fair. It can be seen from 21 students of 52 students who passed the test with the fair results. The percentage of these was $40.38 \%$; it means that students' frequency of listening to English songs of seventh grade of SMP NU 03 Islam Kaliwungu, Kendal is fair. The criteria of frequency of listening to English songs scores can be seen on appendix.

## 2. Students' vocabulary power

The result of the research shows that students' vocabulary score is good. It can be seen from 27 students of 52 students who passed the test with the good results. The percentage of these score is $51.92 \%$; it means that students' vocabulary score of the seventh grade of SMP NU 03 Islam Kaliwungu, Kendal in the academic year of $2016 / 2017$ is good. The criteria of vocabulary scores can be seen on appendix.

## 3. The Influence of frequency of listening to English songs toward students' vocabulary power

Based on the regression analysis, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}=1.526 ; \mathrm{F}_{\text {table }}$ with $\mathrm{N}=52$ and $\alpha=5 \%$ is 1.684. After the test of regression analysis, the result shows that $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}<\mathrm{f}_{\text {table }}$. It means that $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}$ cannot be generalized in the population. The value of regression of
students' frequency of listening to English songs toward their vocabulary power is 1.526 ; that shows a low correlation of both variables. It means students' frequency of listening to English songs not give much effect toward their vocabulary power.

The researcher has mentioned the hypothesis before, from the hypothesis; the researcher has criteria of test hypothesis:
a. If $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}>\mathrm{F}_{\text {table }}$, the alternative hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ is accepted and Null Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)$ is rejected. It means there is positive influence of students' frequency of listening to English songs toward their vocabulary power.
b. If $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}<\mathrm{F}_{\text {table }}$, the alternative hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ is rejected and Null Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)$ is accepted. It means there is no significant influence of students' frequency of listening to English songs toward their vocabulary power.

## D. Limitation of the Research

The researcher realizes that this research had not been done optimally. There were constrains and obstacles faced during the research process. Some limitations of this research are:

1. Relative short time of research makes this research could not be done maximum
2. The research is limited at SMP NU 03 Islam Kaliwungu, Kendal, it is still possible to get different result.
3. The implementation of the research process was less smooth; this was more due to lack of experience and knowledge of the researcher.

Considering all those limitations, there was a need to do more research about how important frequency of listening English song and vocabulary enrichment, so that the more optimal result will be gained.

