CHAPTER IV FINDING AND DISCUSSION

In this chapter the writer analyzed the gathered data based on the procedure. The result of analysis is used to describe the problem. The data were collected by the writer about difference between English achievement of ELT department students enrolled through SPMB and PSSB one.

A. Finding

From the documentation, the researcher gave the data of the students' achievements of PSSB and SPMB as follow:

No	Rea	ding	Liste	ening	Wr	iting	Spea	aking
110	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB
1	4	4	3,7	3,6	4	4	4	3,5
2	2,9	4	3	3	3,2	0	3,4	0
3	3,5	2	3,4	3	3,5	3,3	3,5	3,4
4	2	2	3,4	3,5	2,1	3,6	3,5	3,5
5	2,8	2	3,6	3,5	3,2	3,4	4	3,5
6	2,4	4	3,4	3,4	3,4	4	3,6	4
7	2	2,3	3,5	3,6	1	3,1	2,6	3,4
8	4	4	3,4	3,9	4	3,1	3,9	3,9
9	2	4	3,5	3,5	3,1	3,5	3,6	3,7
10	2,9	2	3	3,7	2,8	1,7	3,4	3,8
11	3	2,7	3,4	3,8	1,8	3,7	3,4	3,5
12	0	3,6	3,6	3,5	2,1	2,5	3,7	3,6

No	Rea	ding	Liste	ening	Wr	iting	Spea	aking
110	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB
13	2,3	4	3,4	3,7	2,2	4	2,9	4
14	2,8	2,3	3,6	3,7	3,5	3,5	3,5	3,6
15	3,6	4	3,6	3,5	3,5	3,2	3,4	3,8
16		3,8		3,5		2,8		3,5
17		4		3,6		3,5		3,4
18		1		3,6		1,5		3,4
19		3,4		3,4		4		4
20		3		3,5		2,9		3,9
21		3,9		3,6		3,7		3,5
22		3,7		3,5		2,9		3,4
23		2		3,6		3,3		3,5
24		4		3,8		3,9		3,6
25		4		4		4		3,9
26		3,9		3,7		3,7		3,6
27		1		3,4		1,8		3,2
28		4		3,4		3,5		3,9
29		2		3,5		1,6		3,5
30		4		3,7		3,3		3,4
31		2,5		3,4		1,1		3,4
32		2,4		3,5		2,6		3
33		3		3,7		4		3,7
34		3,7		4		3,7		3,5

No	Rea	ding	Liste	ening	Wr	iting	Spea	aking
110	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB
35		2,1		3,5		2,7		3,7
36		4		3,5		3,8		4
37		3,1		3,8		2,9		3,4
38		2		3,8		1,4		2,9
39		4		3,7		3,3		3,7
40		4		3,7		2,8		3,6
41		3,1		3,7		3,6		3,4
42		4		4		2,8		3,5
43		4		3,6		3		3,4
44		2,7		3,4		3,2		3,8
45		2,8		3,9		3,2		3,2
46		3,7		3,8		3,7		3,7
47		4		3,5		3,9		4
48		1		3,4		2,5		3,4
49		2		3,6		3,2		3,4
50		4		3,5		3,6		3,5
51		2		3,4		1,3		3,3
52		3,7		3,5		3,2		3,6
53		4		3,6		4		4
54		2		3,4		2,7		3,5
55		4		3,9		4		4
56		4		3,6		4		3,7

No	Reading		Listening		Wr	iting	Speaking		
110	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB	PSSB	SPMB	
57		3,9		3,5		2,6		3,7	
58		3,3		3,7		2,2		3,7	
59		2		3,5		3,1		3,6	

Table 4.1:

The result of achievements of students enrolled through SPMB and PSSB.

Those data, then, were analyzed using independent sample t test of SPSS to the difference between English achievement of ELT department students enrolled through SPMB and PSSB one. And the result of the analysis as follow:

1. Reading Achievement Comparisons between PSSB and SPMB

Group Statistics

Backgrou	nd	N	Mean	Std.	Std. Error
				Deviation	Mean
Reading	PSSB	15	2.680	1.0009	.2584
Achievement	SPM	59	3.146	.9471	.1233
	В				

Independent Samples Test

		Equal	Test for lity of ances		t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	T			Sig. (2- Mean tailed) Difference		95% Confidence Interval of the Difference			
			_						Lower	Upper		
Reading	Equal variances assumed	.782	.380	-1.682	72	.097	4658	.2770	-1.0179	.0863		
Achieve ment	Equal variances not assumed			-1.627	20.839	.119	4658	.2863	-1.0615	.1300		

In the third column sig = 0.380 = 38% > 5% then H0 is accepted. This means that the two groups have the same variance (homogeneous). By accepting H0 variants of the same or to two homogeneous groups, the information is used to perform further tests are comparisons t. In the last two lines of output Independents Test Samples seen writing and Equal variances assumed Equal variances not assumed. At t = 0.097 sig = 9.7% > 5% then H1 is accepted and H0 is rejected. So the average learning achievement PSSB class is no different than learning achievement of SPMB background.

By accepting H0, the mean of the two classes is no different; therefore, further testing needs to be done. We take a look at the output turns averaging Group Statistics for 2.680 PSSB class smaller than the average of SPMB background

3.146. This suggests that the reading achievement of SPMB is better reading achievement of PSSB.

2. Listening Achievement Comparisons between PSSB and SPMB

Group Statistics

Backgrou	nd	N	Mean	Std.	Std. Error
				Deviation	Mean
Listening	PSSB	15	3.433	.2024	.0523
Achievement	Achievement SPM		3.590	.1989	.0259

Independent Samples Test

		Levine's Test for Equality of Variances			t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	Т	df	Sig. (2-tailed)		Std. Error Difference	Interva Diffe		
listening	Equal variances assumed	.038	.845	-2.712	72	.008	1565	.0577	2715	0415	
Achieve ment				-2.684	21.407	.014	1565	.0583	2776	0354	

In the third column sig = 0.845 = 84.5% > 5% then H0 is accepted. This means that the two groups have the same variance (homogeneous). By accepting H0 variants of the same or to two homogeneous groups, the information is used

to perform further tests are comparisons t. In the last two lines of output Independents Test Samples seen writing and Equal variances assumed Equal variances not assumed. At t sig = 0.008 = 0.8% < 5% then H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted. So the average learning achievement of PSSB classes are different with classroom learning achievement SPMB.

By accepting H1 the mean of the two classes is different; therefore, further testing needs to be done. Take a look at the output turns averaging Group Statistics for 3.433 PSSB class smaller than the average class SPMB 3.590. This suggests that listening achievement of SPMB is better than listening achievement of PSSB.

3. Writing Achievement Comparisons between PSSB and SPMB

Group Statistics

Backgrou	nd	N	Mean	Std.	Std. Error
				Deviation	Mean
Writing	Writing PSSB		2.893	.8689	.2243
Achievement	rement SPM		3.069	.8655	.1127
В					

Independent Samples Test

		1		ipies it						
		Equal	Test for lity of ances			t-test for	Equality of	of Means		
			Sig.	T	df	Sig. (2-tailed)		Std. Error Difference		l of the
Writing	Equal variances assumed	.160	.691	703	72	.484	1762	.2505	6754	.3231
Achieve ment	Equal variances not assumed			702	21.622	.490	1762	.2510	6973	.3450

In the third column sig = 0.691 = 69.1% > 5% then H0

is accepted. This means that the two groups have the same variance (homogeneous). By accepting H0 variants of the same or to two homogeneous groups, the information is used to perform further tests are comparisons t. In the last two lines of output Independents Test Samples seen writing and Equal variances assumed Equal variances not assumed. At t sig = 0.484 = 48.4% > 5% then H0 is accepted and H1 is rejected. So the average learning achievement PSSB class is no different than learning achievement SPMB class.

By accepting H0, the mean of the two classes is no different; therefore, further testing needs to be done. We take a look at the output turns averaging Group Statistics for 2.893 PSSB class smaller than the average class SPMB 3.069. This suggests that classroom writing achievement of SPMB better than writing achievement of PSSB.

4. Speaking Achievement Comparisons between PSSB and SPMB

Group Statistics

Backgro	und	N	Mean	Std.	Std. Error
				Deviation	Mean
Writing	PSSB	15	3.493	.3712	.0959
Achievement	0 1882			.5285	.0688

Independent Samples Test

		Equal	Test for lity of ances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	Т	df	Sig. (2-tailed)		Std. Error Difference		l of the	
a 1:	Equal variances assumed	.002	.968	245	72	.808	0355	.1451	3247	.2538	
Speaking Achieve ment	Equal variances not assumed			301	30.210	.766	0355	.1180	2764	.2054	

In the third column sig = 0.968 = 96.8% > 5% then H0 is accepted. This means that the two groups have the same variance (homogeneous). By accepting H0 variants of the same or to two homogeneous groups, the information is used to perform further tests are comparisons t. In the last two lines of output Independents Test Samples seen writing and Equal variances assumed Equal variances not assumed. At t sig =

0.808 = 80.8%> 5% then H0 is accepted and H1 is rejected. So the average learning achievement PSSB class is no different than learning achievement SPMB class.

By accepting H0, the mean of the two classes is no different; therefore, further testing needs to be done. Take a look at the output turns averaging Group Statistics for PSSB background 3.493 is smaller than the average of SPMB background 3.529. This suggests that classroom speaking achievement SPMB better than classroom learning achievement PSSB.

B. Discussion

In this study, difference between English achievements of ELT department students enrolled through SPMB and PSSB one were analyzed, described and elaborated. The difference between English achievements of ELT department students enrolled through SPMB and PSSB one were identified and analyzed and the purpose of using audiovisual aids in teaching learning process tried to be found out.

From the identification, it was hoped that it can be a reference both for teacher and students in teaching and learning English language. In teaching English we need much knowledge to give more understanding in getting a good language. The study is dedicated to who interest in English and to the language course, especially at English Department in Tarbiyah Faculty IAIN Walisongo Semarang.