## CHAPTER IV RESEARCH FINDING AND DISCUSION

## A. Profile of Sate Elementary School 2 Banjardowo

1. The History of State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo

Elementary School 2 Banjardowo was built in 1961 on land belonging to the village of Banjardowo, Kradenan, Grobogan measuring 3400 m 2 . The inception of this school was an initiative of the village elders and Banjardowo government to establish a primary school in Plumpungan. Formerly, if a child wanted to get an education, he/she had to go to the next village with long distance. Based on that situation, all of component society built this school.

Based on Education and Cultural Central Java official decision No. 421.2 / 004/10/49/85 on January 11, 1985, this school was legalized. Since at that time, State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo has experienced 5 times leadership, namely:

1. Suwardi : 1961-1985
2. Sumardji : 1985-2006
3. Rusnandar, Ama. Pd : 2006-2007
4. Suko prayitno, SPd : 2007-2010
5. Suranto, SPd, MM : 2010 - Now

Under five leaderships above, State Elementary School
2 Banjardowo showed good quality increase, both in the
terms of facilities and others. The school also expected to be able to give the best contribution to the advancement of science and technology based on the IMTAQ.
2. Geographic location

Geographically, State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo located at Latitude / Longitude -7.1441 / 111.1576 Plumpungan Rt 05 Rw 03 Banjardowo, Kradenan, distric Grobogan.
3. Vision and Mission
a. Vision

SMART, SKILLED AND NOBLE CHARACTER
Indicator

1) Excellent in the academic field (Smart)
2) Excellent in sports (Skilled)
3) Excellent in the religion field (Noble Character)
b. Mission
4) Implement learning and guidance effectively and optimize students' potential to produce intelligent students.
5) Guide and establish students to improve their achievement and creativity in the field of sports
6) Generate students' creativity in the arts.
7) Guide and establish students to understand, appreciate and implement the religion precept to create a noble character.
4. Labors
a. Educators
1) Permanent Teacher :6 teachers
2) Honorary Teacher $: 5$ teachers
b. Administration $: 1$ person
c. Cleaning Service and Security : 1 person
5. Facilities

In order achieve the goal and improve teaching and learning process, State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo has the following facilities:
a. Comfortable Classroom equipped with lights and fan.
b. Projector.
c. Library.
d. The sports field consists of futsal, volleyball, badminton and rounder.
e. School Health Unit (UKS).
f. School Cooperation.
g. Canteen.
h. Bathrooms, etc.
6. Extra-curricular

Extra-curricular activities have aim to develop students skill according to their interest. As for extracurricular activities at State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo as follows:

Table 3.1
Table of Extra-curricular

| No | Field | Types of Extra-curricular |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Religion | BTA, Rebana, Pesantren Kilat, <br> Qiroah |
| 2 | Sport | Volleyball, Football, Baseball, <br> Badminton etc. |
| 3 | Art | Dance, voice |
| 4 | Skill | Weaving |
| 5 | Others | Scouts, UKS |

7. Education System

In the process of teaching and learning, State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo uses Education Unit Level Curriculum (SBC) to convey the knowledge to students.
8. State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo Achievement
a. $1^{\text {st }}$ winner LCC Grobogan District in 2011.
b. $2^{\text {nd }}$ winner on Science Competition Kradenan Regency in 2013.
c. General winner on SMP 3 Anniversary in 2014.
d. $1^{\text {st }}$ winner on Running Competition 100 Meters Grobogan District in 2014, etc.

## B. Research Finding

1. Introduction Analysis
a. The Level Parental Income

This study tried to describe the characteristic of parental income level. To gather the data, the researcher used questionnaires given to the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016. The score of questionnaires were listed by summing up the students' answer. To make easy in scoring, the researcher made the score system criteria as follows:

1) The answer of A contains the amount of score 4 .
2) The answer of B contains the amount of score 3 .
3) The answer of C contains the amount of score 2 .
4) The answer of $D$ contains the amount of score 1 .

Table 3.2
Table of Parents' Profession

| No | Father's Profession | Mother's Profession |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Employee | Employee |
| 2 | Building Worker | Housewife |
| 3 | Entrepreneur | Entrepreneur |
| 4 | Farmer | Housewife |
| 5 | Entrepreneur | Entrepreneur |


| 6 | Building Worker | Housewife |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | Farmer | Farmer |
| 8 | Farmer | Housewife |
| 9 | Entrepreneur | Farmer |
| 10 | Employee | Housewife |
| 11 | Entrepreneur | Housewife |
| 12 | Woodworking | Housewife |
| 13 | Farmer | Housewife |
| 14 | Building Worker | Entrepreneur |
| 15 | Farmer | Farmer |
| 16 | Entrepreneur | Entrepreneur |
| 17 | Entrepreneur | Housewife |
| 18 | Farmer | Farmer |
| 19 | Entrepreneur | Entrepreneur |
| 20 | Farmer | Farmer |
| 21 | Civil Servant | Civil Servant |
| 22 | Entrepreneur | Breeder |
| 23 | Building Worker | Housewife |
| 24 | Civil Servant | Entrepreneur |
| 25 | Farmer | Honorary Teacher |
| 26 | Farmer | Farmer |
| 27 | Farmer | Farmer |
| 28 | Farmer | House Assistant |
| 29 | Building Worker | Housewife |
| 30 | Farmer | Farmer |
| 31 | Entrepreneur | Farmer |

Table 3.3
The number of Parents' Profession

| Father's <br> Profession | Total | Mother's <br> Profession | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Employee | 2 | Employee | 1 |
| Building <br> Worker | 5 | Housewife | 11 |


| Farmer | 12 | Farmer | 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Entrepreneur | 9 | Entrepreneur | 6 |
| Woodworking | 1 | Breeder | 1 |
| Civil Servant | 2 | Civil Servant | 1 |
|  |  | Honorary Teacher | 1 |
|  |  | House Assistant | 1 |
| Total | 31 | Total | 31 |



In this research, the condition of students' parental income was measured by some indicators, they are: the
source of parental income, the classification of parental income and the use of parental income. From those indicators, the researcher made 14 questions and had 4 multiple choices.

## Table 3.4

The Result of Parental Income Questionnaires

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Res } \end{aligned}$ | Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 22 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 48 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 31 |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 37 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 35 |
| 7 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 30 |
| 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 29 |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 34 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| 11 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 26 |
| 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 32 |
| 13 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 27 |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 30 |
| 15 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 32 |
| 16 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 43 |
| 17 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| 18 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 23 |
| 19 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 27 |


| 20 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 48 |
| 22 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 34 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 23 |
| 24 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 39 |
| 25 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 24 |
| 26 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 26 |
| 27 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| 28 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 36 |
| 29 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 34 |
| 30 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 27 |
| 31 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 40 |

Based on data above, the class interval length could be determined using this formula: ${ }^{1}$

$$
\text { Class Interval Lenght }=\frac{(X \max -X \min )+1}{K}
$$

| $\mathrm{X} \max$ | $=$ Maximum Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{X} \min$ | $=$ Minimum Score |
| K | $=$ The Number of Class Interval |

Class Interval Lenght $=\frac{(48-20)+1}{4}=\frac{29}{4}=7,25$

$$
=8
$$

${ }^{1}$ Subana, dkk, Statistik Pendidikan, Bandung: Pustaka Setia, 2005.
p. 38-40

## Table 3.5

The Frequency of Parental Income Level

| No | Interval | Criteria | Frequency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\%$ |  |
| 1 | $20-27$ | Low | 14 | $42 \%$ |
| 2 | $28-35$ | Middle | 10 | $32 \%$ |
| 3 | $36-43$ | High | 5 | $16 \%$ |
| 4 | $44-51$ | Very High | 2 | $10 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  | 31 | $100 \%$ |  |



Based on the data's description above, the researcher conclude that most of parental income at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students' of state elementary school 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016 was low income. They were $45 \%$ or 14 students' parents had low income, $32 \%$ or 10
students' parents had middle income, $16 \%$ or 5 students' parents had high income and $7 \%$ or 2 students' parents had very high income.
b. The Condition of Home Learning Environment

To gather the data of home learning environment, the researcher used questionnaires given to the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016.

The condition of students' home learning environment was measured by some indicators, they were: the types of family condition, home location and family factors.. From those indicators, the researcher made 17 questions and had 4 multiple choices.

Table 3.6
The Result of Home Learning Environment Questionnaires

| No | Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Res | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 7 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |


| 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 10 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 11 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 12 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 13 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 14 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| 15 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 16 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 17 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 18 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 19 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 20 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 21 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| 22 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 23 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 24 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 25 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 26 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 27 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 28 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| 29 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 30 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 31 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 |


| No | Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Res | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 35 |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 60 |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 42 |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 51 |
| 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 45 |
| 6 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 59 |
| 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 51 |
| 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 59 |
| 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 64 |
| 10 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 54 |
| 11 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 53 |
| 12 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 52 |
| 13 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 62 |
| 14 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 51 |
| 15 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 55 |
| 16 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 53 |
| 17 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 50 |
| 18 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 52 |
| 19 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 58 |
| 20 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 53 |
| 21 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 64 |
| 22 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 55 |
| 23 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 64 |
| 24 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 42 |
| 25 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 57 |
| 26 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 61 |
| 27 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 28 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 63 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 29 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 46 |
| 30 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 57 |
| 31 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 52 |

Based on those data, the class interval length could be determined using this formula: ${ }^{2}$

$$
\text { Class Interval Lenght }=\frac{(X \max -X \min )+1}{K}
$$

X max = Maximum Score
X min $\quad=$ Minimum Score
K $\quad=$ The Number of Class Interval

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Class Interval Lenght }=\frac{(64-35)+1}{4}=\frac{30}{4}= & 7,5 \\
& =8
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 3.7
The Frequency of Home Learning Environment

| No | Interval | Criteria | Frequency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | F | $\%$ |
| 1 | $35-42$ | Bad | 3 | $10 \%$ |
| 2 | $43-50$ | Sufficient | 4 | $13 \%$ |
| 3 | $51-58$ | Good | 15 | $48 \%$ |
| 4 | $59-66$ | Very Good | 9 | $29 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | 31 | $100 \%$ |

[^0]Home Learning Environment


Based on the data's description above, the researcher conclude that home learning environment condition at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students' of state elementary school 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016 was good category. They were $10 \%$ or 3 students had bad home learning environment, $13 \%$ or 4 students had sufficient home learning environment, $48 \%$ or 15 students had good home learning environment and $29 \%$ or 9 students had very good home learning environment.
c. Children's Academic Performance at School

The score of children's academic performance at school was taken from the mid-test score. There are 11 lessons at the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of state elementary school

2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016, those are:

1) Islamic Education
2) Civic Education
3) Indonesian Language
4) Mathematics
5) Science
6) Social Science
7) Culture and Skill
8) Physical Education, sport and healthy
9) Javanese Language
10) Agriculture
11) English Language.

Table 3.8
The Score of Children's Academic Performance at School

| No <br> Res | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |
| 1 | 70 | 57 | 59 | 55 | 59 | 50 | 75 | 80 | 60 | 77 | 65 | 707 |
| 2 | 70 | 65 | 65 | 52 | 66 | 59 | 72 | 78 | 69 | 74 | 69 | 739 |
| 3 | 75 | 70 | 58 | 55 | 66 | 54 | 63 | 78 | 67 | 70 | 65 | 721 |
| 4 | 70 | 72 | 65 | 73 | 70 | 65 | 67 | 78 | 70 | 74 | 75 | 779 |
| 5 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 58 | 71 | 65 | 75 | 80 | 73 | 70 | 65 | 767 |
| 6 | 75 | 82 | 65 | 77 | 73 | 65 | 70 | 80 | 75 | 70 | 80 | 812 |
| 7 | 75 | 73 | 65 | 68 | 70 | 65 | 67 | 78 | 67 | 74 | 70 | 772 |
| 8 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 68 | 71 | 53 | 77 | 78 | 70 | 80 | 75 | 782 |


| 9 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 65 | 66 | 65 | 70 | 80 | 75 | 70 | 80 | 781 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 70 | 62 | 53 | 78 | 65 | 54 | 73 | 80 | 70 | 70 | 67 | 742 |
| 11 | 75 | 66 | 65 | 68 | 73 | 65 | 70 | 80 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 772 |
| 12 | 70 | 65 | 68 | 55 | 80 | 72 | 70 | 80 | 70 | 73 | 70 | 773 |
| 13 | 75 | 65 | 65 | 60 | 84 | 60 | 78 | 80 | 70 | 80 | 68 | 785 |
| 14 | 85 | 79 | 70 | 72 | 78 | 65 | 77 | 80 | 76 | 80 | 80 | 842 |
| 15 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 65 | 73 | 65 | 77 | 78 | 72 | 82 | 82 | 804 |
| 16 | 80 | 75 | 70 | 70 | 71 | 65 | 73 | 78 | 73 | 70 | 83 | 808 |
| 17 | 75 | 79 | 64 | 65 | 71 | 85 | 72 | 75 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 796 |
| 18 | 80 | 70 | 69 | 65 | 71 | 65 | 72 | 75 | 75 | 70 | 69 | 781 |
| 19 | 80 | 72 | 65 | 67 | 80 | 65 | 72 | 75 | 70 | 84 | 79 | 809 |
| 20 | 80 | 70 | 66 | 63 | 76 | 72 | 65 | 75 | 70 | 70 | 68 | 775 |
| 21 | 85 | 92 | 78 | 80 | 91 | 82 | 73 | 76 | 85 | 85 | 90 | 917 |
| 22 | 85 | 86 | 86 | 73 | 86 | 92 | 73 | 78 | 75 | 74 | 80 | 888 |
| 23 | 85 | 72 | 86 | 73 | 70 | 65 | 74 | 75 | 75 | 85 | 78 | 838 |
| 24 | 80 | 88 | 90 | 75 | 84 | 85 | 75 | 70 | 79 | 80 | 82 | 888 |
| 25 | 80 | 79 | 70 | 73 | 82 | 69 | 78 | 78 | 81 | 70 | 80 | 840 |
| 26 | 75 | 79 | 65 | 73 | 79 | 65 | 72 | 75 | 78 | 70 | 82 | 813 |
| 27 | 75 | 72 | 65 | 65 | 70 | 65 | 72 | 75 | 70 | 75 | 75 | 779 |
| 28 | 85 | 88 | 79 | 75 | 92 | 75 | 73 | 75 | 81 | 78 | 80 | 881 |
| 29 | 70 | 72 | 65 | 65 | 73 | 65 | 72 | 75 | 62 | 70 | 69 | 758 |
| 30 | 70 | 88 | 70 | 65 | 70 | 66 | 76 | 75 | 70 | 78 | 72 | 800 |
| 31 | 80 | 94 | 75 | 73 | 88 | 81 | 76 | 75 | 79 | 70 | 80 | 871 |

Based on those data, the class interval length could be determined using this formula: ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{3}$ Subana, dkk, Statistik Pendidikan, Bandung: Pustaka Setia, 2005.
p. 38-40

$$
\text { Class Interval Lenght }=\frac{(X \max -X \min )+1}{K}
$$

| $\mathrm{X} \max$ | $=$ Maximum Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{X} \min$ | $=$ Minimum Score |
| K | $=$ The Number of Class Interval |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Class Interval Lenght } & =\frac{(917-707)+1}{4}=\frac{211}{4} \\
& =70,33=71
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 3.9
The Frequency of Children's Academic Performance at School

| No | Interval | Criteria | Frequency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | F | $\%$ |
| 1 | $707-777$ | Sufficient | 10 | $32 \%$ |
| 2 | $778-848$ | Good | 17 | $55 \%$ |
| 3 | $849-919$ | Very Good | 4 | $13 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | 31 | $100 \%$ |

Based on the data's description above, the researcher concluded that children's academic performance at school at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students' of state elementary school 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016 was good category. They were $32 \%$ or 10 students had sufficient achievement, $55 \%$ or 17 students had good achievement and $13 \%$ or 4 students had very good achievement.
2. Hypothesis Analysis

There were three hypothesis in this research and would be analyzed using two predictor regression analysis. The two predictor regression analysis used to know the positive impact partially or individually and together between independent variables (parental income and home learning environment) and dependent variable (children's academic performance at school). The researcher used SPSS Program V. 21 to calculate all data.
a. Looking for Regression Similarity

To see whether we will find out the correlation between independent variable (parental income and home learning environment) and dependent variable (children's academic performance at school), the researcher applied two predictor regression analysis technique with equation as follow: ${ }^{4}$

$$
Y=a+b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{2}
$$

Y : Tied Variable (Children Academic Performance at School)
a : Constantab : Variable X regression coefficient$\mathrm{X}_{1}$ : Dependent Variable (Parental Income)$\mathrm{X}_{2}$ : Dependent Variable (Home LearningEnvironment)

[^1]Table 3.10
Coefficients of Regression Similarity

| Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardize <br> d <br> Coefficients | T | Sig. |
|  | B | Std. <br> Error | Beta |  |  |
| (Constant) | 608.547 | 66.119 |  | 9.204 | . 000 |
| Parental Income | 2.251 | 1.006 | . 366 | 2.238 | . 033 |
| Home Learning | 2.262 |  | . 345 | 2.106 | . 044 |
| Environment |  |  |  |  |  |

a. Dependent Variable: Children's Academic Performance at School

So, the regression similarity was:
$\mathrm{Y}=608,547+2,251 \mathrm{X}_{1}+2,262 \mathrm{X}_{2}$

Based on the output of coefficients above, the interpretation from regression similarity was as follow:

1) Materialistically, it shows that two predictor regression analysis had positive value in constant as many as 608,547 . It explained that if the value of parental income and home learning environment were zero so the children's academic performance at school value would be 608,547 . In other word the score of children's academic performance at school
without any influence of parental income and home learning environment value was 608,547.
2) The regression constant of parental income (X1) was 2,251 . It means that if the parental income condition rise up one point, the children's academic performance score at school will rise up 2,251 , so it has a positive impact.
3) The regression constant of home learning environment (X2) was 2,262. It means that if the home learning environment condition rise up one point, the children's academic performance score at school will rise up 2,262 , so it has a positive impact.
b. Looking for determination coefficient $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$

To find out the relation degree between independent variable (X1 and X2) and dependent variable (Y), the researcher executed what called as formula of determination coefficient.

## Table 3.11

The Result of Determination Coefficient $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$
Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Mo del | R | R <br> Squa <br> re | Adjusted <br> R <br> Square | Std. <br> Error of the Estimate | Change Statistics |  |  |  |  | Durbin <br> Watso <br> n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | R <br> Square <br> Change | F Chang e | df1 | df2 | Sig. F <br> Chang <br> e |  |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{r} .49 \\ 9^{a} \end{array}$ | . 249 | . 196 | 42.473 | . 249 | 4.654 | 2 | 28 | . 018 | 1.038 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Home Learning Environment, Parental Income
b. Dependent Variable: Children's Academic Performance at School

Source: Output from SPSS V. 21 calculation

Based on the basic calculation using SPSS Program V.21, the researcher have found out the size of influence from X1 and X2 to Y as many as 0,249 . Or $24,9 \%$ while the rest of $75,1 \%$ is influence by other factors out of parental income and home learning environment.
c. T test

To find out whether there is and impact of X1 and X2 individually to Y , the researcher executed T test using SPSS program V. 21 and then compared $\mathrm{T}_{\text {reg }}$ with $\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }}$.

Table 3.12
The Result of T test
Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized <br> Coefficients | T | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Std. <br> Error | Beta |  |  |
| (Constant) | 608.547 | 66.119 |  | 9.204 | . 000 |
| Parental Income | 2.251 | 1.006 | . 366 | 2.238 | . 033 |
| Home Learning <br> Environment | 2.262 | 1.074 | . 345 | 2.106 | . 044 |

a. Dependent Variable: Children's Academic Performance at School

1. If $\mathrm{T}_{\text {reg }}<\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }} 5 \%$ or $\operatorname{Sig}>0,05$ so $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is rejected. It means that there is no impact of parental income on children's academic performance at school at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of $2015 / 2016$. And if $\mathrm{T}_{\text {reg }}>\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }} 5 \%$ or $\mathrm{Sig}<0,05$ so $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is rejected and $H_{a}$ is accepted. It means that there is significant impact of parental income on children's academic performance at school at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of $2015 / 2016$. Based on the output above, it was known that $\mathrm{T}_{\text {reg }}=2,238>\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }} 2,0484$ or $\operatorname{sig}=0,033<0,05$. It meant that $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ was rejected
and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was accepted. So individually parental income impact on children's academic performance at school. In other word, there was significant impact of parental income on children's academic performance at school at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of state elementary school 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016.
2. If $\mathrm{T}_{\text {reg }}<\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }} 5 \%$ or $\mathrm{Sig}>0,05$ so $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is rejected. It means that there is no significant impact of home learning environment on children's academic performance at school at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016. And if $\mathrm{T}_{\text {reg }}>\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }} 5 \%$ or Sig $<0,05$ so $H_{0}$ is rejected and $H_{a}$ is accepted. It means that there is significant impact of home learning environment on children's academic performance at school at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016. Based on the output above, it was known that $\mathrm{T}_{\text {reg }}=2,106>\mathrm{T}_{\text {table }} 2,0484$ or $\operatorname{sig}=0,044$ $<0,05$. It meant that $H_{0}$ was rejected and $H_{a}$ was accepted. So individually home learning environment impact children's academic performance at school. In other word there was significant impact of home learning environment on children's academic performance at school at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of state
elementary school 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016.
3. Final Analysis

Table 3.12
The Result of F test

| ANOVA $^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |  |
| Regression | 16792.141 | 2 | 8396.071 | 4.654 | $.018^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |
| 1 Residual | 50511.536 | 28 | 1803.983 |  |  |  |
| Total | 67303.677 | 30 |  |  |  |  |

a. Dependent Variable: Children's Academic Performance at School
b. Predictors: (Constant), Home Learning Environment, Parental Income

After getting $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}$ value, the next step was comparing $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}$ value with the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {table }}$ value. The significant value on $5 \%$ and $1 \%$.
a. If $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}<\mathrm{F}_{\text {table }} 5 \%$ or $\operatorname{Sig}>0,05$ so $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is rejected. It means that there is no impact of parental income and home environment on children's academic performance at school at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016.
b. If $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}>\mathrm{F}_{\text {table }} 5 \%$ or $\mathrm{Sig}<0,05$, so $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is rejected and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is accepted. It means that there is significant impact of parental income and home environment on children's
academic performance at school at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016.

Based on the output above, it was known that $\mathrm{F}_{\text {reg }}$ $=4,654>\mathrm{F}_{\text {table }}=3,345 \%$ or $\operatorname{Sig}=0,018<0,05$. It meant that $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ was rejected and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was accepted. So there was significant impact of parental income and home learning environment on children's academic performance at school at $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students of state elementary school 2 Banjardowo in the academic year of 2015/2016.

## C. Discussion

Parental income was highly correlated with and significantly impact on children academic performance at school. This finding is supported by Azikwe (2008) opined that for continuous successful students' academic performance; basic materials needed by the students must not be in short supply. Rothman (2004) also reported that differences in socio-economic background of students breed achievement gaps. ${ }^{5}$

Home learning environments have high correlation and impact on children academic performance at school. This finding is supported by Egunsola (2014) discovered that when pupils

[^2]home are located in an environment where there is noisy traffic, noisy sound of machine and industry or market; these negatively affect the students' performance at school because the noisy environment disturbs them from concentrating while reading and studying at home. ${ }^{6}$

There were some reasons why parental income and home learning environment could impact on children's academic performance at school.

1. The high income family will be easier to fulfill children facilities and needs in order to support their learning process. It is different from low income family, they will be difficult to fulfill children facilities and other needs.
2. The good home learning environment condition gives a big contribution in children learning success. Children will feel enjoy, comfortable, and happy to learn at home because the parents always give motivation, love, no bickering, have a good relationship between family members and other good factors. While bad home learning environment condition will make the children uncomfortable to learn at home. They will go out with other friends playing and forget to study because parents may be always busy with their jobs, never give attention to children's progress, never give motivation, always bickering and the home atmosphere is very crowded.

There are some exceptional in this study:

[^3]1. A child from low income family may get a good performance at school. For example a student number 24, his parents have low income, but he can get a good score at school (883)
2. A child from high income family may be not success in the process of teaching learning process. Children not only need good facilities to support their learning but also a good home learning environment as explanation above.

## D. Limitation of The Study

The researcher considers that in this research, there were many mistakes. It was not deliberate factor. However it was happened because of the researcher's weakness. Some limitations of this study are:

1. This study is limited by the sample, there were only 31 students as sample.
2. This research is also limited by time. The researcher only had 5 days to collect the data given by the principle of State Elementary School 2 Banjardowo.
3. The researcher only use quantitative analysis in this study. For the next analysis with the same topic, it may be able to use qualitative analysis to make the research more interesting and possibly different result will be gained.
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