# THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING THINK PAIR SHARE (TPS) TECHNIQUE TO TEACH STUDENTS' SPEAKING SKILL OF DESCRIPTIVE TEXT

(An Experimental Research with Tenth Grade of MA Sunan Kalijaga Bawang Batang inthe Academic Year of 2015/2016)

#### **THESIS**

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for Gaining the Degree of Bachelor in English Language Education



By: ULFA DARISA 123411100

EDUCATION AND TEACHER TRAINING FACULTY
WALISONGO STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY
SEMARANG
2016

#### A THESIS STATEMENT

I am the student with the following identity:

Name

: Ulfa Darisa

Student's Number

: 123411100

Department

: English Language Education

certify that this thesis:

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING THINK PAIR SHARE
(TPS) TECHNIQUE IN TEACHING STUDENTS' SPEAKING
SKILL IN DESCRIPTIVE TEXT

(An Experimental Research with Tenth Grade of MA Sunan Kalijaga Bawang Batang in the Academic Year of 2015/2016)

is definitely my own work. I am completely responsible for the content of this thesis. Other writer's opinions or findings included in the thesis are quoted or cited in accordance with ethical standards.

Semarang, 9th October 2016

The researcher,

Ulfa Darisa

NIM. 123411100

DAEF261816093



## KEMENTERIAN AGAMA RI UNIVERSITAS ISLAM NEGERI WALISONGO FAKULTAS ILMU TARBIYAH DAN KEGURUAN

Jl. Prof.Dr. Hamka (Kampus II) Ngaliyan Semarang Telp. 0247601295 Fax. 7615387

#### RATIFICATION

Thesis with the following identity:

Title

:The Effectiveness of Using Think Pair Share (TPS)

Technique to Teach Students' Speaking Skill of Descriptive Text (An Experimental Research with Tenth Grade of MA Sunan Kalijaga Bawang

Batang in the Academic Year of 2015/2016

Name

:Ulfa Darisa

Students' Number

: 123411100

Department

: Education and Teacher Training Faculty

Field of Study

: English Language Departemnet

had been ratified by the board of examiners of Education Faculty of Walisongo State Islamic University and can be received as one of any requirement for gaining the Bachelor degree in English Language Education.

Semarang, 30th November 2016

THE BOARD OF EXAMINERS

ecretary.

Dr. H. Ikhrom

Examine

NIP.19650329 1994

Savvidatul Fadlilah, M.Pd. MP.19810809\200908 2 001

Examiner II,

Dra. Hj. Siti Mariam

NIP. 19650727 199203 2 002

Siti Tarwiyah/S

NIP. 19721108 199903 2 001

Advisor

Nadiah Ma'mun, M. Pd.

NIP. 19781103 200701 2 016

### **MOTTO**

وَأَطِيعُوا اللَّهَ وَأَطِيعُوا الرَّسُولَ وَاحْذَرُوا ۚ فَإِن تَوَلَّيْتُمْ فَاعْلَمُوا أَنَّمَا عَلَىٰ رَسُولِنَا الْبَلَاغُ الْمُبِينُ

"And obey Allah and the Messenger (Muhammad), and beware (of even coming near to drinking or gambling or Al-Ansab, or Al-Azlam,etc) and fear Allah. Then if you turn away, you should know that it is Our Messenger's duty to convey (the Message) in the cleares way".(QS. Al-Maidah:92)<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Kementerian Agama RI, *Berkamus Tematis Ayat Akhlak dan Ibadah*, (Jakarta: PT. Widya Cahaya, 2012), p. 123

## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to:

- 1. My beloved parents (Rizalul Muhrim and Thoifatul Maula) they are the best supporter for the thesis.
- 2. My beloved young brothers (Bangkit Syaiful Mujab and Salsa Akmalul Faza)
- 3. My beloved grandfather (Junaidi)

#### ABSTRACT

Title : THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING

THINK PAIR SHARE (TPS)
TECHNIQUE TO TEACH
STUDENTS' SPEAKING SKILL OF

DESCRIPTIVE TEXT

Writer : ULFA DARISA

Student Number : 123411100

Keywords: Think Pair Share, Speaking Skill, Descriptive Text

This thesis discussed about the effectiveness of using Think Pair Share (TPS) technique to teach students' speaking skill of descriptive text at tenth grade of MA Sunan Kalijaga Bawang in the academic year of 2015/2016. Teaching students' speaking skill is so monotonous that the teacher not using technique in the learning process. It can make the students feel bored. The technique can make students more active and interactive in the class, especially Think Pair Share Technique. The researcher gave pre-test and post-test two classes. Before giving post-test, the researcher gave treatment to the experimental class (the students who were taught using Think Pair Share (TPS) Technique and the control class (the students who were taught without using Think Pair Share (TPS) Technique. Then, the researcher gave post-test both of control and experimental classes to know the students' speaking result. The formula that was used to analyze the data was t-test. It was used to determine whether there was a significance difference both experimental class and control class or not. After collecting the data, it was found that the pre-test average score of experimental class was 63. 333and the control class were 63.667. Meanwhile, the post-test average score of the experimental class was 72, 500 and the control class were 67, 667. It was obtained that t-test was 2. 120and the t-table was 2.00 for alpha (a) 5%. The ttest was higher than t-table (2. 120>1, 672). It meant that Ha was accepted and Ho was rejected. Based on the result, the researcher concluded that the use of Think Pair Share (TPS) Technique was effective in teaching students' speaking skill in descriptive text at MA Sunan Kalijaga Bawang in the academic year of 2015/2016.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

All the praises belongs to the king of life Allah SWT, the most merciful andthe most graceful until this thesis can be completely finished. The effectiveness of using think pair share technique to teach students' speaking skill of descriptive text(An experimental research at the tenth grade students of MA Sunan Kalijaga Bawang Batangthe academic years of 2015/2016) is a thesis for readers who want to knowthe effectiveness of using think pair share technique to teach students' speaking skill of descriptive text

The researcher realizes that cannot complete this thesis without the help of others. Many people have helped me during the writing this thesis and it would be impossible to mention of all them. I wish, however, to give my sincerest gratitude and appreciation to:

- 1. Dr. H. Raharjo, M. Ed. St., as Dean of Teacher Training Faculty of Walisongo State Islamic University Semarang.
- 2. Dr. H. Ikhrom, M. Ag.,asthe Head of English Language Education Department.
- 3. Nadiah Ma'mun, M.Pd., as the advisor who had the responsibility ofgiving great motivation, help, and suggestions to improve this thesis. There is no word that the researcher can say except, "Thank you very much forgood guide and good consulter for me as good as my parent. You are thenice lecturer."
- 4. All lectures in English Department of English Department Education and Teacher Training Faculty for valuableknowledge, and guidance during the years of my study.
- 5. Ahmad Munir, S. Ag., S. Pd as the headmaster of MA Sunan Kalijaga Bawang who has given permission for doing the research.
- 6. Teachers of MA Sunan Kalijaga Bawang. Thanks for helping andgood participation. Especially Budiyanto, S. Pd as the English teacher of MA Sunan Kalijaga Bawang who guided and helped during research in the school.

- 7. All of students of MA Sunan Kalijaga Bawang, Thanks for your participation during the research.
- 8. The deepest gratitude for my beloved parents (Mr. Rizalul Muhrim and Mrs. Toifatul Maula) who always support me, pray for me and make me who I am.
- 9. My beloved young brothers (Bangkit Syaiful Mujab and Salsa Akmalul Faza)
- 10. My beloved friends in the kost (G3): Atin, Vina, Diah, Lina, Maya, and Shofi. Thanks for helping, support sweetest memory.
- 11. All my friends in PBI keCe'12. Thanks for coloringthe researcher's days for 8 semesters.
- 12. All of the researcher's friends at Walisongo State Islamic University Semarang, especially in the English Department.
- 13. My friends who help in the research process, they are Ali Fakihudin and Wanto.
- 14. All of friends in my PPL at SD Hidayatullah Semarang 2015/2016.
- 15. All of friends in KKN MIT 2, especially in Kertosari. Thank you for the best experience during in KKN.
- 16. Last but not least, those who cannot be mentioned one by one, who havesupported the researcher to finish this thesis.

Finally, the researcher realizes that this thesis is far from being perfect; therefore, the researcher will happily accept constructive criticism in order tomake it better. The researcher hopes that this thesis would be helpful andbeneficial to everyone. Amen

> Semarang, 9<sup>th</sup> October 2016 The researcher,

> > **Ulfa Darisa**

NIM. 123411100

## TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE	••••••	i
THESIS STAT	EMENT	ii
RATIFICATIO	ON NOTE	iii
ADVISOR NO	ΓΕ	iv
<b>MOTTO</b>	••••••	v
<b>DEDICATION</b>	••••••	vi
	••••••	vii
	MENT	ix
TABLE OF CO	ONTENT	X
	LE	xii
	RES	xiii
	ENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER I	INTRODUCTION	
A.	Background of the study	1
B.	Reason for Choosing the Topic	4
C.	Research Question	5
D.	Objective of the Reseach	5
E.	Significance of the Study	5
F.	Limitation of the Study	6
CHAPTER II I	REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATUR	E
A.	Theoretical Review	7
	1. Think Pair Share Technique	7
	2. Speaking skill	16
	3. Genre	22
B.	Previous Research	26
C.	Hypothesis	28
CHAPTER III	METHOD OF RESEARCH	
	Research Design	29

B. Research Setting	31
C. The Subject of the Research	31
D. Research Variable	33
E. Technique of Collecting Data	34
F. Technique of Data Analysis	39
CHAPTER IV RESEARCH FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	
A. Description of the Result of Research	46
B. The Data Analysis and Test of Hypothesis	47
C. Discussion of the Research Finding	71
D. Limitation of the Research	73
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
A. Conclusion	74
B. Suggestion	75
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	
CURICULUM VITAE	

# LIST OF TABLE

Table of pre-test and post-test, 30

Table 3.1

Table 3.2	Analytic Scoring of Speaking, 36
Table 4.1	The List of Experimental and Control Class Pre-test Score, 48
Table 4.2	The Frequency Distribution of Experimental Class Pretest, 51
Table 4.3	The Frequency Observation of Experimental Class Pretest, 51
Table 4.4	Table Frequency Distribution of the Control Class Pretest, 54
Table 4.5	Table Frequency Observation of the Control Class Pretest, 54
Table 4.6	The Homogeneity test of Experimental and Control Class Pre-test, 57
Table 4.7	TheAverage Similarity Test of Experimental and Control Class Pre-test, 59
Table 4.8	The List of Experimental and Control Class Post-Test Score, 60
Table 4.9	The Frequency Distribution of the Experimental Class Post Test, 63
Table 4.10	Table Frequency Observation of the Experimental Class Post-Test, 64
Table 4.11	The Frequency Distribution of Control Class Post-test, 66
Table 4.12	The Frequency Observation of Control Class Post-test, 67
Table 4.13	TheHomogeneity test of Experimental and Control Class of Post-test, 69
Table 4.14	The Average Similarity Test of Experimental and Control Class of Post-test, 77

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1	Formula Normality Test, 40
Figure 3.2	Formula of t-test, 43
Figure 3.3	Formula of Standard Deviation, 43
Figure 4.1	Normality test of Experimental Class Pre-test, 52
Figure 4.2	Normality test of Control Class Pre-test, 55
Figure 4.3	Homogeneity of Experimental and Control Class Pre-
	test, 58
Figure 4.4	The Average Similarity test of Pre-test, 60
Figure 4.5	the Normality test Experimental Class Post-test, 65
Figure 4.6	Normality test of Control Class Post-test, 68
Figure 4.7	Homogeneity of Experimental and Control Class Post-
	test, 70
Figure 4.8	The Average Similarity test of Post-test, 72

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1	The List Students of Experimental Class
Appendix 2	The List Students of Control Class
Appendix 3a	The transcript of students' recording (Pre-test of
	Experimental Class)
Appendix 3b	The transcript of students' recording (Pre-test of
	Control Class)
Appendix 4a	The transcript of students' recording (Post-test of
	Experimental Class)
Appendix 4b	The transcript of students' recording (Post-test
	ofControl Class)
Appendix 5	Syllabus
Appendix 6	Pre and Post Test Question
Appendix 7a	Lesson Plan of Experimental Group
Appendix 7b	Lesson Plan of Control Group
Appendix 8	Score of Pre-Test Experimental and Control Group
Appendix 9	Score of Post-Test Experimental and Control Group
Appendix 10	Documentation